

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,213	08/22/2003		Todor Sheljaskow	2003P09221US	9032
75	i90 I	1/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Siemens Corp	oration		BUDD, MARK OSBORNE		
Intellectual Proj 170 Wood Ave	perty Departn	nent		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Isalin NI 08830				2834	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Н.
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,213	SHELJASKOW, TODOR	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mark Budd	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this commo	inication appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, mmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6 ply will, by statute, cause the application to becons after the mailing date of this communication, e	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on 10 October 2005.	•	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) This action is non-final.		
•==	n for allowance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-8,10 and 11</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is, 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>6-8,10 and 11</u> is/are reje 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn from consideration		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by a 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected.	e: a) accepted or b) objecte jection to the drawing(s) be held in abing the correction is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
2. Certified copies of the priorit3. Copies of the certified copie	y documents have been received y documents have been received s of the priority documents have b ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interv	riew Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	or PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice	r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) ::	

Art Unit: 2834

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 USC 102 as being anticipated by Smith for the specific reasons set forth in the previous office action (7-12-05).

Claims 6-8,10 and 11 are rejected under 35 USC 103 as being on patentable over Fiebiger in view of Shiraishi for the reasons noted in the previous office action (7-12-05).

Page 2

Regarding the rejection of claim 5 applicant argues that grounding layer 70 cannot fairly be interpreted as a matching layer stating that the term "matching layer" is an art recognized term that connotes a relationship between thickness and acoustic velocity. If the claim used the term "impedance" matching layer applicant would perhaps have a valid point. However, the simple term matching layer is not the same as and impedance matching layer and has no special recognized limits in the art. Thus, Smith anticipates the current claim structure. It is only fair to point out that this time that if the claim were so limited to and impedance matching layer that as noted in the rejection of claims 6-8 it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide multiple impedance matching layers in lieu of a single layer for the simple expedient of more efficient matching.

Applicant argues that claims 6-8 should not be rejected since Fiebiger does not show a two-dimensional array of piezoelectric elements. The examiner agrees that Fiebiger does not explicitly show such an array. However, col3 In 22-32 describes the arrays as being suitable for use in the medical field and producing among other options rectangular tomographs. Note also figure 2 which shows the matching layer in a plan view as being more or less square. These would indicate a rectangular or square arrangement of equal size transducer elements #6 (a two-dimensional array). Please note that applicants admitted prior art indicates that both one and two dimensional piezoelectric element arrays are well known in the art. So whether or not fiber err explicitly teaches a two dimensional array such an array is certainly well-known to those of ordinary skill in the art. Applicant further argues that the combination of references must yield a multilayered device having to nonconductive matching layers. The examiner agrees that this can be one alternative, however, hey just as likely alternative is for both layers to be conductive-especially in view of the specific metallic constructions for the matching layer taught by Shirishi. The conductivity or lack thereof for the matching layer is only an issue of convenience (or inconvenience if considering short-circuiting possibilities) for making electrical connections to and from the piezoelectric elements. The materials for the matching layers are mainly selected based on their acoustic impedance values and not for their conductivity. The use of kerfing to avoid crosstalk between the piezoelectric elements is a known commodity to one of ordinary skill in the art. Providing the structure for its known purpose would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Providing a further conductor to compensate for the low conductivity of the metallic matching layers would have been within the skill expected of the routineer.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Budd whose telephone number is 571-272-2019. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6 a.m. to 4 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg, can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,213

Art Unit: 2834

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Budd Primary Examiner Art

Page 4

Unit 2834